R. E. A. Dear, E. E. Gilbert, and G. L. Walker

Two unsaturated alcoholic derivatives of ketone I have been prepared and evaluated as insecticides. They are considerably more active than I in nearly all cases, and on cockroaches show a faster rate of

kill. The acetylenic derivative is more active than the ethylenic analog on three insects, and about equivalent on two.

he insecticide-fungicide decachlorooctahydro-1,3,4metheno-2*H*-cyclobuta[*cd*]pentalen-2-one, or decachloropentacyclo- $(5.3.0.0^{2.6}.0^{4.10}.0^{5.9})$ decan-3-one, (I) is effective on a wide variety of chewing insects (Allied Chemical Corp., 1960). (The designation "Kepone," a registered trade make of Allied Chemical Corporation, applies to I only when it is used in insecticidal or acaricidal formulations.)



Previous studies at this laboratory (Gilbert et al., 1966a, 1966b) showed, however, that modification of I at the carbonyl group could result in marked changes in insecticidal activity. Alcoholic derivatives of structure II had in many cases broader spectra of activity and/or increased rates of action. Compounds IIc and IId were among the most active compounds studied. In all cases, R was saturated aliphatic or aromatic. The purpose of the present study was to determine the effect of making R unsaturated, with the unsaturation residing on the carbon atom next to the carbinol carbon atom for maximum possible effect and with a varied degree of unsaturation. The closest approach to such compounds in the previous study was IIe, which, although promising in initial tests, was concluded to be less active than IIc, IId, and others on the basis of followup studies. However, the phenyl group of IIe is not unsaturated in the usual sense. Compounds IIa and IIb were accordingly prepared and tested.

## Allied Chemical Corp., P. O. Box 405, Morristown, N. J. 07960

PREPARATION OF TEST COMPOUNDS

Compound IIa. The Grignard procedure (Gilbert et al., 1966a) was followed with modifications as follows. A solution of approximately 0.1 mole of anhydrous I in xylene was prepared as usual. The xylene was removed by evaporation under reduced pressure, and the anhydrous I so obtained was immediately dissolved in dry tetrahydrofuran (ca. 100 ml.). To this solution was added at 0° over 15 minutes a solution of 0.1 mole ethinyl magnesium bromide in 100 ml. of dry tetrahydrofuran, prepared by a standard method (Skattebol et al., 1959). The resulting solution was refluxed for 65 hours, by which time I had completely reacted, as indicated by the infrared spectrum. The solution was cooled, acidified with 0.1 mole of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in 120 ml. of water, and poured into water to separate the solid product which was filtered. The aqueous layer was extracted with two 50 ml. portions of chloroform, which were mixed with the solid product. Evaporation to dryness gave 45 grams of crude IIa (85% of theory). After sublimation at  $210^{\circ}$  (0.2 mm.), it showed m.p. 354-5° C. with darkening at 260°. Anal. Calcd for C12H2Cl10O: 27.9% C, 0.4% H, 68.6% Cl, molecular weight 517. Found: 27.5%, 0.6%, 68.2%, 530. The infrared spectrum shows stretching bands at 3584 cm.<sup>-1</sup> for O-H, at 3311 for  $\equiv$ C-H; and at 2137 for C=C, which is consistent with formula IIa, and indicates the absence of unreacted I.

**Compound IIb.** In a similar manner a solution of 0.22 mole of vinyl magnesium chloride in tetrahydrofuran (purchased from Monomer-Polymer Laboratories, Philadelphia, Pa.) was reacted with anhydrous I (0.122 mole) in tetrahydrofuran. A similar workup procedure was used, giving crude IIb in 85% yield. After purification by sublimation at 210° (0.7 mm.), it was found to have a m.p. >400° C. *Anal.* Calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>10</sub>O: 27.8% C, 0.8% H, 68.4% Cl. Found: 27.4%, 0.9%, 67.9%. The infrared spectrum shows stretching bands at 3797 cm.<sup>-1</sup> for O—H, and at 1642 for C==C (very weak), which is consistent with formula IIb.

## BIOLOGICAL EVALUATION

Compounds I and IIa-d were compared in spray tests by procedures already described (Gilbert *et al.*, 1966a); the data are summarized in Table I. All of the compounds were ineffective on mites [*Tetranychus telarius* (Linnaeus)]. Bait formulations containing 0.125% toxicant with a 6:6:1 mixture of powdered sugar, powdered milk, and dried egg were tested on cockroaches, with the results shown in Table II.

The data show that IIa and IIb have high activity of a type generally resembling that of the other alcoholic derivatives of I. IIa is the most active of the five compounds on southern armyworms, and is the second most active on Mexican bean

## Table I. Spray Test Comparison of Experimental Compounds (Per Cent Kill)

Per Cent Toxicant Mexican Bean in Spray, Beetle Larvae <sup>a</sup>				Pea Aphid Adults <sup>b</sup>						Southern Armyworm Larvae°					Colorado Potato Beetle Larvae <sup>d</sup>				
Ι	IIa	IIb	IIc	IId	I	IIa	IIb	Hb	IId	I	IIa	IIb	IIc	IId	I	IIa	IIb	IIc	IId
100		88	100																
80	100	80	60	100															
60	80	60	40	100	0														
	60			100					<b>9</b> 0										
								100	80	80	100	100	80	60					
						100	100	100	60	0	100	60	0	40					
						80	70	100			80	0		0					
						50	10								50				
																100	40	100	100
																50	20	100	100
																0	0	80	<b>9</b> 0
	I 100 80 60	Me: Beet 1 Ha 100 80 100 60 80 60	Mexican I           Beetle Lar           I         IIa         IIb           100         88         80         100         80           60         80         60         60         60	Mexican Bean Beetle Larvae <sup>a</sup> I         IIa         IIb         IIc           100         88         100           80         100         80         60           60         80         60         40	Mexican Bean           Beetle Larvae <sup>a</sup> I         IIa         IIb         IIc         IId           100         88         100         80         60         100           80         100         80         60         100         60         80         60         100         60         60         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100	Mexican Bean Beetle Larvae <sup>a</sup> I         IIa         IIb         IIc         IId         I           100         88         100         80         60         100         60         80         60         100         60         60         0         0         60         0         0         60         100         0         0         60         100         0	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline Mexican Bean \\ \hline Beetle Larvae^{\alpha} & Pea \\ \hline I & IIa & IIb & IIc & IId & I & IIa \\ \hline 100 & 88 & 100 & & \\ 80 & 100 & 80 & 60 & 100 & & \\ 80 & 100 & 80 & 60 & 100 & & 0 \\ \hline 60 & 80 & 60 & 40 & 100 & & 0 \\ \hline 60 & & 100 & & & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & 100 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & &$	Mexican Bean Beetle Larvae <sup>a</sup> Pea Aphid           I         IIa         IIb         IIc         IId         I         IIa         IIb           100         88         100         80         60         100         60         100         60         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         80         70         50         100	Mexican Bean Beetle Larvae <sup>a</sup> Pea Aphid Adul           I         IIa         IIb         IIc         IId         I         IIa         IIb         IIb           100         88         100         80         60         100         60         100         60         100         60         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         50         10         <	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

<sup>a</sup> Mexican bean beetle larvae: Epilachna varivestis (Mulsant).
 <sup>b</sup> Pea aphid adults: Macrosiphum pisi (Harris).
 <sup>c</sup> Southern armyworm larvae: Prodenia eridania (Cramer).
 <sup>d</sup> Colorado potato beetle larvae: Leptinotarsa decemlineata (Say).

Table II.	Bait Test Comparison on Cockroaches	
	Per Cent Kill after Days Given)	

	Ame	rican Ro	ach Ny	German Roach Adults <sup>9</sup>					
Compound	1	2	3	4	Sex	1	2		
					М	0	100		
I	10	80	90	100	F	0	100		
					Μ	40	100		
Ha	70	100	_		F	20	100		
					М	20	100		
Пb	90	100			F	40	100		
					М	80	100		
He	70	100			F	60	100		
					Μ	60	100		
Hd	0	100			F	10	100		
<sup><i>a</i></sup> American <sup>b</sup> German co	cockro ockroac	ach nym h adults	phs: P : Blatt	eriplanei ella gern	ta american nanica (Lit	na. 1naeus).			

beetles and (like IIb) on pea aphids. IIa resembles IIc and IId in being highly effective on Colorado potato beetles. Ha is more active than Hb on three insects, and about equivalent on the other two. I is markedly less active than its derivatives, especially on pea aphids and Colorado potato beetles, and in its speed of action on roaches.

I and IIa were tested for control of subterranean termites, Reticulitermes flavipes. White pine stakes were soaked for 1 minute in a 1.5% solution of the toxicant in acetone-water, dried, and kept in the ground for one year. The untreated stakes showed heavy damage; those treated with I, medium to heavy; and the stakes treated with IIa, none.

## LITERATURE CITED

Allied Chemical Corp., Agricultural Division, "Kepone Insecticide-Fungicide," 1960, 15 pages.
Gilbert, E. E., Lombardo, P., Rumanowski, E. J., Walker, G. L., J. AGR. FOOD CHEM. 14, 115 (1966a).
Gilbert, E. E., Lombardo, P., Walker, G. L., J. AGR. FOOD CHEM. 14, 111 (1966b).

Skattebøl, L., Jones, E. R. H., Whiting, M. C., Org. Syn. 39, 56 (1959).

Received for review March 13, 1969. Accepted June 10, 1969. Paper XVIII in a series on perhaloketones; previous paper: Tetrahedron 25, 1801 (1969).